

## PORTLAND MARKET REPORT

## CARACAS OR CRACKERS – A LITRE OF PETROL IN CARACAS NOW RETAILS AT CIRCA 10 PENCE PER LITRE

## April update

When oil producing countries are discussed, it is usually the likes of Saudi Arabia, Iran, Russia, maybe even Nigeria that are mentioned. Affairs in these countries are constantly scrutinised, in case they have an impact on world oil prices. But events in Venezuela – the country with the largest reserves in the world – rarely elicit a mention. Indeed, the recent death of socialist autocrat, Hugo Chavez, barely caused a ripple on world oil markets. Why so?

## At current production levels it would take a staggering 387 (!) years to exhaust Venezuela's oil

Firstly let's look at those reserves; Venezuela has accessible oil in the ground that will take a staggering 387 (!) years to exhaust at current production levels. This can be compared to Saudi Arabia with 81 years of reserves and Russia with a worrying (for them) 21. Venezuela is also the longest established oil producer in the world and a country that until 2000, had equal domination (with Saudi Arabia) of the US import market. But despite this pedigree, production in Venezuela is paltry. The country does not even feature in the world's top 10 oil producers and even within the OPEC production league, it is only 6th. Now some might say that there is nothing wrong in minimising oil production, whilst maintaining reserves – just look at Norway, who have curbed production for the benefit of future generations. But Venezuela is not Norway and there are two main reasons why production in the South American country is so low: geology and politics.

Venezuelan crude – largely found in the Lake Maracaibo basin – is a highly naphthenic and bitumastic type of crude. In layman's terms, this means it naturally produces very little of the commonly consumed grades (diesel, petrol etc) and instead, is best suited to heavy industrial use (petro-chemical, bitumen). In itself this is not a bad thing, as such products often carry a premium above normal crudes. But uses for Venezuelan crude are limited and so therefore is demand. This problem has historically been solved by sending the bulk of production to the USA, where the refineries have the required sophistication to break down the heavily viscous Venezuelan crude into usable, light-end transport fuel.

Yet whilst Venezuelan crude is a geological matter, Chavez's decision in 2000 to unilaterally cut exports to the USA was routed firmly in the political arena and by doing so, he cut-off the country's life-blood. At about the same time, Chavez also decided that the state would not only nationalise privately held assets (mostly belonging to Exxon and Conoco) but also, that he would replace existing staff in PDVSA (the state oil company) with his mates from school, university and the military.

"Bravo" said the domestic and international sycophants – "about time someone stood up to the American bully and most of the execs in PDVSA were corrupt anyway". Maybe true... but only ideologues would throw the bathwater out with the baby and by axing the technical expertise in PDVSA, production plummeted and the company became a byword for inefficiency and cronyism. The Chavez government was unrepentant and PDVSA workers were given a choice – either support the president, or lose their jobs. Indeed in 2006, Energy minister Rafael Ramírez publicly went on record and said: " "PDVSA is red from top to bottom. PDVSA's workers are with this revolution, and those who aren't should go somewhere else. Go to Miami…'

Many did and a great deal more just stayed away. Getting hold of accurate figures to demonstrate PDVSA's decline is predictably difficult, but the fact that in the 1970s, Venezuela was producing 3.7m barrels per day (3.7mbpd) and yet by 2010, the country was producing 2.3mbpd tells its own story – this in a period of soaring world oil demand and a profound improvement in production techniques elsewhere in the world. But as oil prices kept on rising, so did Venezuelan revenues and this fact was used as proof of the success of Chavez's Bolivarian Revolution. More perversely, it was also used as evidence that PDVSA was a world beater!

The conclusion must be that any comparison with Norway is flawed, in more ways than one. Firstly, Venezuela is holding

nothing back on the production front – they simply do not have the ability to produce any more. Secondly, unlike Norway which sells its oil at market rates to the benefit of their Sovereign Fund, Venezuela subsidises fuel so heavily, that a litre of petrol in Caracas now retails at circa 10 pence per litre (Caracas or Crackers?!). And the hard truth for those who still shout "Viva la Revolución" from the roof-tops, is that the revenue generated by oil has been used on short-term, vote-winning handouts, whilst at the same time, crime has soared (Venezuela's murder rate is the highest in South America – 4 times that of "notorious" Mexico), infrastructure has crumbled, power cuts blight the cities and most shops do not even have the most basic of staple products. Even at the final reckoning, Chavez chose not to seek hospital treatment in his beloved Venezuela, but instead flew to Cuba where "the doctors are better". Perhaps like many zealous socialists before him, Chavez realised the Orwellian truth that "all are equal, but some are more equal than others"....

The death of Ahmadinejad, the Saudi King or even Vladimir Putin, would have the oil markets buzzing, but Chavez' end had no such effect. Instead, the man who changed Venezuelan law so that he could interrupt <u>any</u> television programme at <u>any</u> time and who also hosted a live weekly programme ("Alo Presidente") that sometimes lasted 12 hours, will simply go down as another South American leader who squandered the riches of his country, for his own ends.



Portland FuelPrice Protection
www.portland-fuel-price-protection

Fuel Oil News | April 2013\_7